

Measurement Workshop for Non-Direct Service Programs

Office of Fiscal Analysis/The Charter Oak Group, LLC

RBA Resource Team

Rev. 1 (9.24.10)



Results Accountability Decision-making and Strategic Planning

Trying Hard
Is Not
Good Enough

How to Produce Measurable Improvements for Customers and Communities

Mark Friedman

Fiscal Policy Studies Institute
Santa Fe, New Mexico

WEBSITES

www.resultsaccountability.com

www.raguide.org

www.charteroakgroup.com

BOOK ORDERS

www.trafford.com www.amazon.com

What programs will benefit most from this workshop?

- The Charter Oak Group, LL Interactive Decision Consulting
- Programs that do not entail the direct delivery of services to specific customers
 - Regulatory
 - Insurance and utility rates
 - Adjudicatory
 - UI Appeals
 - Preventative
 - Emergency preparedness
 - Administrative
 - Procurement of supplies or real estate
 - Policy and Advocacy
 - Commissions
 - Manage infrastructure
 - Maintenance of roads and bridges
 - Revenue generating

Critical Steps



- Identify your customers
 - Usually multiple customers (e.g., individuals, organizations, an industry or sector)
 - Often conflicting customer needs and agendas
- Identify purpose(s) of program for each customer
- Distinguish ultimate beneficiaries of activities from direct customers
- The usual RBA steps, including the population result, how well and better off measures, partners, what works and what you propose to do
- There may be value in connecting major policy issues with conflicting needs and agendas

Insurance Department



Purpose of program: Insurance industry regulation

Protect consumers from misrepresentation, fraud and companies' failure to meet claims obligations

Customers:

Consumers, insurance companies, insurance producers (agents)

How well

-% of complaints resolved within X days

Better off

- -#/% of revoked licenses
- -#/\$ of fines
- -%/% of resolved fraud complaints

Emergency Preparedness/Management

Direct customers: people in the sites where plans have been developed, training has been conducted, or emergency response has been provided; the organizations that run the sites affected

Ultimate beneficiaries: people not directly receiving or participating in actions of agency, but who are safer as a result of the individual and cumulative actions of the agency

How well measures:

- Percentage of target site preparedness plans developed
- % of local first response personnel trained
- Response time in emergencies

Better off measures:

- % of sites with reduced risk
- % of residents living in communities with trained local first responders

State Lottery



Customers: Players, merchants, taxpayers (State Treasurer)

Lottery players:

Better Off:

- #/rate of winners
- \$/rate of payout
- rate of gambling addiction for lottery players

Note: Addiction is a better off measure if you think addiction is inherent in gambling. Addiction could be a how well measure if you believe that there is a way to run the lottery that avoids addiction.

State Lottery



Taxpayers (or proxy):

Better off

- Amount of gross revenue
- Amount of net revenue (profit)
- Gross and net revenue as % of total state general funds
- Gross and net revenue per dollar of lottery operations cost (i.e., ROI)
- rate of gambling addiction for lottery players (true ROI would include costs of gambling addiction)

State Lottery



Merchants:

Better off

- Profit from ticket sales
- Percent of net income from ticket sales
- Non-ticket sales related to ticket purchases

Taxpayers, winners and losers, merchants:

How well and better off

Customer satisfaction with lottery

Discussion

The Charter Oak Group, LLC Interactive Decision Consulting

Thank You



The Charter Oak Group, LLC www.charteroakgroup.com

Barry Goff
bgoff@charteroakgroup.com
(860) 659-8743

Bennett Pudlin bpudlin@charteroakgroup.com 860-324-3555